ENFORCEMENT ACTION NAME:\_Recycled Aggregates, LLC and John Driggs Company\_\_\_(Primary Respondent's Name)

REGIONAL HEARING CLERK'S DOCKET NUMBER: CWA 03-2016-0040
REGIONAL TECHNICAL CONTACT: <u>Ingrid Hopkins</u> REGIONAL ATTORNEY: <u>Kelly Gable</u> Phone: 4-5437 Mail Code: 3WP42 Phone: 4-2471 Mail Code: 3RC20
DEFENDANT(s):Recycled Aggregates, LLC
DEFENDANT(s):John Driggs Company
DEFENDANT SMALL BUSINESS (<100 employees)? Yes
FACILITY NAME (if different than Enforcement Action Name): <u>DC Rock</u>
Street: 1721 South Capitol Street, SW City: Washington State: DC Zip Code: 20003  FACILITY ADDRESS (Pls. use the location of the facility where the violation(s) occurred, not a P.O. Box Number)
PRIMARY 6-DIGIT NAIC Code(s); Latitude: 38.86849N _Longitude:77.00932W HORIZONTAL COLLECTION METHOD: _GPS Unspecified REFERENCE POINT: _Other
FACILITY 4-DIGIT SIC Code(s) SMALL BUSINESS? Yes
FEDERAL FACILITY? <b>NO.</b> if YES, √OPTION(s):
( ) FEDERAL FACILITY ACTIVITY ( ) NO FEDERAL FACILITY INVOLVEMENT
( ) NON-FEDERAL PARTY IMPACTING FEDERAL PROPERTY
FEDERAL FACILITY ACTIVITY COMMENT:
ENFORCEMENT ACTION TYPE (section of statute that authorizes pursuit of penalty and/ or injunctive relief) (see link below)  CWA 309(g)(2)(B) APO for Class II Penalties <a href="http://intranet.epa.gov/r3intran/oecci/Enf">http://intranet.epa.gov/r3intran/oecci/Enf</a> Type.pdf
LAW(s), Section(s) and Subsection(s) violated (see link below): <u>CWA 301/402 NPDES Construction</u> <u>Stormwater</u> <a href="http://intranet.epa.gov/r3intran/oecei/Law_Section.pdf">http://intranet.epa.gov/r3intran/oecei/Law_Section.pdf</a>
DATE OF *COMPLAINT/**FFA (FILED/SIGNED):/_//////
CASH CIVIL PENALTY AMOUNT SOUGHT: \$ 54,000 (Penalty in Complaint or 1 day maximum statutory amount for a Notice Pleading Case)

Multi-Media Action?	Yes or No	If yes, ✓	all that apply(s):

	CAA Air Stationary Source (other than Title II)	_	MPRSA Ocean Dumping
	CAA Air Mobile Source (Title II)	_	RCRA Hazardous Waste Management (Subtitle C)
_	CAA Prevention of Accidental Releases (112r)		RCRA Solid Waste Management (Subtitle D)
	CERCLA Hazardous Site Response (CERCLA		RCRA Underground Storage Tanks (Subtitle I)
	Superfund other than 103 and/or RCRA 3013 or		SDWA Public Water Supply (§1414 et seq.)
	7003)		SDWA Underground Injection Control (1421 et
	CWA NPDES (other than 311 and 404)	<del></del>	seq.)
	CWA Oil Pollution (311)	_	TSCA Asbestos Hazardous Emergency Response
	CWA Wetlands (404)		Act (201)
	EPCRA Community Right-to-know (313)		TSCA Lead Exposure Reduction (409)
	EPCRA Releases Notification/Emergency		TSCA Polychlorinated Biphenyls (1414 et seq.)
	Preparedness (non-313 and/or CERCLA 103)		TSCA Toxic Substances (other than Lead or PCBs)
	FIFRA Pesticides		

• IMPORTANT: FIFRA REGISTRATION VIOLATIONS, FIFRA MISLABELING VIOLATIONS, FIFRA VIOLATIONS CONCERNING ADVERTISING OF PRODUCTS, LEAD-BASED PAINT TRAINING PROVIDER VIOLATIONS, LEAD-BASED PAINT VIOLATIONS REGARDING LABORATORY VIOLATIONS, LEAD-BASED PAINT VIOLATIONS AGAINST CONTRACTORS INVOLVING A WIDE GEOGRAPHIC AREA (\*NOTE: THIS EXEMPTION DOES NOT APPLY WHERE THE CONTRACTOR'S VIOLATIONS ARE FAIRLY LOCALIZED), FIFRA STOP SALE ORDERS (WITH NO SPECIFIC LOCATION) AND NOTICE OF DETERMINATIONS (NODs) ARE EXEMPT FROM AN EJ ASSESSMENT.

EJSCREEN Flag National:	_X_YesNo	
EJSCREEN Flag State:	_X YesNo	Yes, ATTACHED BELOW
Enhanced Review for Potential	l EJ Concerns:	
X_ Enhanced Review – Potent Enhanced Review – Potent No Enhanced Review		nd
Basis of EJ Determination (sele	ect all that apply):	
Community Self-Identifica _X EJSCREEN data	otion O	ther basis (Please Explain) ther Federal Government knowledge of community/location
EPA knowledge of commu	mity/location (including	inspector observation)

State/Local/Tribal Government knowledge of community/location

## **Explanation of Basis:**

For this assessment information was considered on the block group which contains the facility as well as using a 0.5, 1 and 3 mile radius around the facility. The facility is found to be in an area of potential EJ concern based on the following factors: (1) According to EJSCREEN, when considering the block group which contains the facility as well as a 0.5, 1 and 3 mile radius around the facility, one or more of the twelve Primary EJ Indexes is at or above the 80<sup>th</sup> percentile in the nation and state, and (2) the block group which contains the facility exceeds the state average for percentage of low-income populations, and (3) the block group which contains the facility exceeds the state average for minority populations.

If EJ Assessment has not been conducted, OECEJ can provide this information for you. Please contact Matthew Lee at 4-2917.

AUDIT POLICY APPLIED? Yes or No, if Yes, Disclosure Date: /			
VIOLATION TYPE DESCRIPTION(s): NOPRN http://intranet.epa.gov/r3intran/oeccej/Violation Type.pdf	MT (see link below)		
POLLUTANT DESCRIPTION(s): Sediment 6613 (Add additional pollutants on blank sheet) http://intranet.epa.gov/r3intran/oecej/PollutantReferenceListing.			
RELIEF SOUGHT: (✓appropriate option (s)) X	Y PEN INJ		
CFR CITATION(S): 40 CFR122.26 40	O CFR 40 CFR		
OECA NATIONAL PRIORITY ACTIVITY? (Yes  Air Toxics – Excess Emissions Air Toxics – Flares Air Toxics – LDAR Energy Extraction-Land Based Gas Extraction & Production Mineral Processing – Mining - Other Mineral Processing – Non-Phosphoric Acid Mineral Process – Phosphoric Acid NSR/PSD - Cement NSR/PSD – Coal-Fired Power Plants	NSR/PSD – Glass Manufacturing NSR/PSD – Nitric Acid Plants NSR/PSD – Sulfuric Acid Plants WW – CAFO WW – CAFO Regional Initiatives Areas WW – CSOs < 50K serv. pop'n. WW – CSOs > = 50K serv. pop'n. WW – SSOs > = 10 mg/d and < 100 mg/d WW – MS4s – Phase I WW – MS4s Phase II		
REGIONAL PRIORITY? (Yes or No), if Yes, op  Chesapeake Bay Air Shed  Chesapeake Bay Watershed Climate Change Direct Implementation Elizabeth River Initiative, Virginia Federal Facilities in Chesapeake Bay Watershed Federal Facilities in Virginia, (RCRA) Fumigation (CAA)	Marcellus Shale Mountain Top Mining RCRA I District of Columbia SDWA MCL Exceedance Sustainability		

OECA CORE PROGRAM? (Yes or No)

## **CASE SUMMARY**

DO NOT INCLUDE ENFORCEMENT CONFIDENTIAL, POTENTIALLY FOIA-EXEMPT INFORMATION. (A summary of the violation(s), environmental problem(s), and a description of the cause(s) of action/basis of legal action). All information in this section will eventually be made available to the public.

Penalty action for unauthorized discharges of pollutants, associated with industrial activity, to the Anacostia River, which is impaired.

Given the limitations of screening-level outputs, no EJ screening tool can provide an "easy button" to reach a conclusion that environmental justice concerns exist. As with any screening analysis, the output from EJSCREEN is a starting point for additional information collection and data analysis, as appropriate. EJSCREEN can only be used to identify places where EJ concerns are most likely to occur and where additional review may be warranted.

EJSCREEN is for internal use only. Do not release this information or the attached report.

For Inclusion in Case Conclusion Data Sheets/ICIS:

EJSCREEN Flag National:	X Yes No
EJSCREEN Flag State: X	YesNo
Enhanced Review -	<ul><li>Potential EJ Concern Found</li><li>Potential EJ Concern Not Found</li></ul>
No Enhanced Revious  Basis of EJ Determination (Select all  Community Self-Ide	ll that apply):
X_ EJSCREEN data	community/location (including inspector observation)
Other Federal Gove Public Input	Government knowledge of community/location

## Explanation of Basis:

For this assessment information was considered on the block group which contains the facility as well as using a 0.5, 1 and 3 mile radius around the facility. The facility is found to be in an area of potential EJ concern based on the following factors: (1) According to EJSCREEN, when considering the block group which contains the facility as well as a 0.5, 1 and 3 mile radius around the facility, one or more of the twelve Primary EJ Indexes is at or above the 80<sup>th</sup> percentile in the nation and state, and (2) the block group which contains the facility exceeds the state average for percentage of low-income populations, and (3) the block group which contains the facility exceeds the state average for minority populations.